1 MICHAEL J. MESSINA (SB# 92415) WOODS & MESSINA 2 550 West "C" Street, Suite 1670 San Diego, CA 92101 3 Tel. (619) 232-1914 Fax (619) 237-8898 4 5 Attorney for Defendant JESSICA KING 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 (HON. LARRY A. BURNS) 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 08CR0274-02-LAB Case No.: 12 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTIONS 13 FOR: vs. 14 (1) DISCOVERY AND LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER JESSICA KING, 15 **MOTIONS** Defendant. 16 July 28, 2008 Date: 17 Time: 2:00 p.m. 18 19 PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD, TO: 20 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA: 21 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at the above designated time and place defendant, 23 JESSICA KING, will move the court for an order compelling discovery and to suppress 24 25 statements in the instant case with respect to the items set forth in the attached memorandum of 26 law, based upon the constitutional, statutory and judicial authorities cited 27 ///// 28 Case No. 08CR0274-LAB Notice of Motion and Motions for

(1) Discovery (2) and Leave for Further Motions

herein. Defense counsel will further move the court for leave to file further motions herein as may be warranted by discovery materials produced in the case.

Said motions are based upon the instant motion, the attached memorandum of law and on such evidence and argument as may be presented at the hearing on the motion.

The motions are made pursuant to Rules 12 and 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 18 U.S.C. §3500 and §3501 and the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and the Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 21, 2008

S/ MICHAEL J. MESSINA

MICHAEL J. MESSINA

Attorney for Defendant

JESSICA KING

Notice of Motion and Motions for (1) Discovery (2) and Leave for Further Motions

1 MICHAEL J. MESSINA (SB# 92415) WOODS & MESSINA 2 550 West "C" Street, Suite 1670 San Diego, CA 92101 3 Tel. (619) 232-1914 Fax (619) 237-8898 4 5 Attorney for Defendant JESSICA KING 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 (HON. LARRY A. BURNS) 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 08CR0274-02-LAB Case No.: 12 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTIONS 13 FOR: vs. 14 (1) DISCOVERY AND LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER JESSICA KING, 15 **MOTIONS** Defendant. 16 July 28, 2008 Date: 17 Time: 2:00 p.m. 18 19 PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD, TO: 20 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA: 21 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at the above designated time and place defendant, 23 JESSICA KING, will move the court for an order compelling discovery and to suppress 24 25 statements in the instant case with respect to the items set forth in the attached memorandum of 26 law, based upon the constitutional, statutory and judicial authorities cited 27 ///// 28 Case No. 08CR0274-LAB Notice of Motion and Motions for

(1) Discovery (2) and Leave for Further Motions

herein. Defense counsel will further move the court for leave to file further motions herein as may be warranted by discovery materials produced in the case.

Said motions are based upon the instant motion, the attached memorandum of law and on such evidence and argument as may be presented at the hearing on the motion.

The motions are made pursuant to Rules 12 and 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 18 U.S.C. §3500 and §3501 and the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and the Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 21, 2008

S/ MICHAEL J. MESSINA

MICHAEL J. MESSINA

Attorney for Defendant

JESSICA KING

1 MICHAEL J. MESSINA (SB# 92415) WOODS & MESSINA 2 550 West "C" Street, Suite 1670 San Diego, CA 92101 3 Tel. (619) 232-1914 Fax (619) 237-8898 4 5 Attorney for Defendant JESSICA KING 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 (HON. LARRY A. BURNS) 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 08CR0274-02-LAB Case No.: 12 Plaintiff, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT 13 OF MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS vs. 14 JESSICA KING, 15 Date: July 28, 2008 Defendant. 16 Time: 2:00 p.m. 17 18 19 20 STATEMENT OF THE CASE 21 On May 23, 2008 a superseding indictment was charged against JESSICA KING under 22 Title 18 U.S.C. Secs. 1591(a)(1), (a)(2) and (b)(2) – Sex Trafficking of Children; Title 18 U.S.C. 23 Sec. 2422(b) – Coercion and Enticement of Juvenile into Prostitution; Title 18 U.S.C., Sec. 2 – 24 25 Aiding and Abetting; Title 18 U.S.C., Sec. 371 – Conspiracy. The superseding indictment 26 charges Ms. KING in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. The defendant brings this motion for discovery 27 ///// 28 Case No. 08CR0274-02-LAB Memorandum of Law in support of Motion for Discovery and for Leave to File further Motions

1

4

5

7

8

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

and leave to file further motions in order to have in its possession all possible admissible evidence at the time of trial.

I.

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

A. Defendant is entitled to Discovery of Defendant's Statements

Pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(A), <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83(1963), and the Fifth and Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Defendant requests the disclosure of all statements, written, oral, and recorded, made by defendant which are in the possession, custody, or control of the government or which by the exercise of due diligence may become known to the Government, regardless of to whom the statements were made.

This includes all rough notes of government agents, which include statements of Defendant.

A defendant has a right to inspect these requested statements. This has been extended to permit discovery of written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in handwritten notes of government agents. <u>United States v. Johnson</u>, 525 F. 2d 999(2d Cir. 1975); United States v. Bailleaux, 685 F. 2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982).

B. <u>Defendant is entitled to Disclosure of any Prior Similar Convictions</u> or Prior Similar Acts

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(B) provides that, upon request of the defendant, the Government shall furnish to the defendant a copy of defendant's prior criminal record, if any, as is within the possession, custody, or control of the government. Defendant makes this request.

/////

Memorandum of Law in support of Motion for

Discovery and for Leave to File further Motions

The Defendant also requests that the Government provide discovery of any prior similar acts, which the Government will intend to introduce into evidence pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b). The Defendant must have access to this information in order to make appropriate motions to exclude the use of such evidence at trial. See <u>United States v. Cook</u>, 609 F. 2d 1174 (9th Cir. 1985).

C. <u>Defendant is entitled to examine any Documents, tangible Items, and the like</u> which are in the Possession, Custody, or Control of the Government

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(c) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), the Defendant requests the opportunity to inspect and copy all books, papers, documents, photographs, and tangible items which are in the possession, custody, or control of the Government and which are material to the preparation of the defense intended for use by the Government as evidence in the case in chief. The Defendant further makes these requests pursuant to Brady v. Maryland on the ground that this evidence may provide exculpatory information that is beneficial to the Defendant in the defense against the charges in the indictment.

The request includes, but is not limited to the following: All search warrants and their accompanying affidavits, as well as the opportunity to inspect the results of all searches conducted by law enforcement officers pursuant to warrants and/or otherwise (this request includes the searches of all residences, businesses, automobiles, and other locations regarding the case); all tape-recorded conversations, closed circuit television surveillance of suspects, telephone toll analysis, bank records and financial documents involving the case. This request also includes the results of all follow-up investigations regarding the above-requested evidence.

These requests are made pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16 and <u>Brady v.</u> Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

D. <u>Due Process Exculpatory Information</u>

Pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and the Fifth and Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Defendant requests disclosure of all information of whatever form, source, or nature which tends to exculpate Defendant by indicating innocence, contradicting the Government's theory of the case, and impeaching the credibility of potential government witnesses. This request specifically includes all co-conspirator statements, indicted and unindicted, all third party witness statements interviewed by government agents and/or of which the Government has custody, dominion or control. This request includes all recorded conversations, electronic, mechanical, stenographic, or otherwise, of all co-conspirators, indicted and unindicted, all defendants, and all potential witnesses whose statements are relevant to the subject matter charged in the indictment and are in the possession, custody, or control of the government. It also includes such statements within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. Section 3504.

Defendant requests the Government to provide all statements made by all potential witnesses. The term "statements" as used in this request include tape-recorded conversations, rough notes, correspondence, memoranda, or reports prepared directly by such persons and/or by any government agents (of any government entity) or attorneys. It includes all Grand Jury testimony, as sell as previous in-court and trial testimony. It includes all government debriefings of all potential witnesses. If such statements were given orally to any government agent.

Defendant requests that they be committed to writing and produced forthwith.

In addition, Defendant requests access to prior testimony of all government witnesses. Two statutory provisions and one major constitutional provision must be considered in resolving any questions involving compelled disclosure of government witness statements. First, the <u>Jencks</u> Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 3500, regulates disclosure of witness statements, as defined by the Act, and prohibits any order requiring production prior to the completion of direct examination of the witness. Second, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(2) excludes from the operation of the general discovery provisions of Rule 16 those reports, memoranda, and internal government documents generated during the course of an investigation into the case, except as provided in the Jencks Act. The Rule does not prohibit the disclosure of such items, but states, merely, that it does not authorize them. Third, an overriding principle requires the government to disclose all exculpatory material in its possession. See Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). Defendant makes this request under all three provisions.

As part of this request, Defendant also requests the name, address and telephone number of each person the Government intends to call as a witness at trial. Additionally, Defendant requests the name, address and telephone number of each person who was present during or had material information regarding, any act or transaction charged in the indictment, whether or not the Government intends to call such a person as a witness at the trial. The request includes a list of all witnesses appearing before the Grand Jury in connection with this case. Advance disclosure of witnesses is essential if Defendant's Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel is to have any real meaning. This request is properly before the Court. See <u>United States v. Cadet</u>, 727 F. 2d 1453, 1469 (9th Cir. 1984); <u>Wilson v. Rose</u>, 366 F. 2d 611 (9th Cir. 1966).

Pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); <u>Davis v. Alaska</u>, 415 U.S. 308 (1974), Defendant makes the following request for:

- All impeaching evidence such as prior records, prior inconsistent statements, evidence for bias, interest, or motive, and prior uncharged bad acts of all the potential witnesses in this case;
- 2) All formal or informal promises to reward a witness, such as promises of probation, promises of monetary gain, payment of living or medical expenses, payment for transportation or promises of witness protection;
- 3) All information relating to alcohol or drug abuse treatment of all potential witnesses, and all information relating to drug uses of each potential witness;
- 4) All information relating to the use of aliases or fictitious names by each potential government witness;
- All information relating to prior acts of all potential witnesses which are probative of their character for untruthfulness within the meaning of Federal Rule of Evidence 608(b); and,
- All information relating to contradictory statements made by all potential government witnesses or agents or representative of any law enforcement entity or other persons.

E. Request for Expert Witness Information

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(A) and <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), Defendant requests to inspect and copy or photograph any results of reports of physical or mental examinations and of scientific tests or experiments which are within the

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25 26

2728

possession, custody or control of the Government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known to the attorney for the Government, and which reports are material to the preparation of the defense or intended for use by the Government as evidence in their case in chief at trial.

In regards to the expert information, Defendant requests all rough notes, memoranda, correspondence and reports setting forth the results, whether positive or negative, of all expert analysis regarding fingerprints or any of the seized evidence, scientific analysis of any of the recorded conversations and/or closed circuit television surveillance. In regards to this latter request, Defendant requests the opportunity to perform independent scientific analysis on all recorded conversations and all closed circuit television surveillance conducted in this case.

F. <u>Defendant is entitled to Disclosure of the Evidence the Government intends</u> to use against Defendant at Trial

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12(d), Defendant requests that this Court order the Government to disclose the evidence it intends to use against Defendant at trial. This request includes any evidence which Defendant may be entitled to under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, subject to any relevant limitation prescribed by that rule.

II.

PRESERVE ROUGH NOTES

Defendant hereby moves this Court to order:

- Preservation and production of rough notes of government witness interviews and the interrogatories of Defendant; and,
- 2) Sanctions for destruction of any of the rough notes including the exclusion of any witness' testimony as to which the rough notes have been destroyed.

Page 7 of 8

The grounds for this motion are that rough interview notes must be preserved and disclosed to the defense under the Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure, Rule 16 and 18 U.S.C. Section 3500.

III.

MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY REQUESTS

- A. All government forms, notes, memoranda signed by Defendant in connection with, or as a result of the arrest herein.
- B. Copies of all sound or video tape recordings taken of Defendant and any material witnesses during the course of investigation and arrest herein, and any and all existing transcripts thereof.

IV.

LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

A continuance may be warranted in this matter due to the fact that further discovery is required in order to adequately prepare motions; at this date, some discovery has been provided. Accordingly, Defendant prays for leave to file further motions should such motions be warranted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 21, 2008

S/ MICHAEL J. MESSINA

MICHAEL J. MESSINA

Attorney for Defendant

JESSICA KING

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

JESSICA KING,

Defendant.

Case No. 08CR0274-02-LAB

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Defendant.

8

10

11

I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is:

12 | 55

550 West "C" Street, Suite 1670

San Diego, CA 92101

13

On July 21, 2008, I served the foregoing document described below as:

15

14

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTIONS FOR: (1) DISCOVERY (2) AND LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

16

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

17

18

19

By the following method:

20

) **BY MAIL**

21

By mailing (by first class mail, post prepaid) copies to all parties by delivering a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a representative of the U.S. Post Office.

22

) BY FACSIMILE AND MAIL

23 24

I declare that I served the above named documents by faxing the documents during usual office hours and thereafter mailing (by first class mail, post prepaid) copies to all parties by delivering a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a representative of the U.S. Post Office.

25 26

The fax number I used (sent to) is listed below and the facsimile machine I used reported no error.

27

28

() <u>BY PERSONAL SERVICE</u> I placed a true copy of the above documents in a sealed envelope clearly labeled to identify the attorney for the party being served, and personally

1	delivered by hand leaving a copy with the receptionist or other person in charge at the off each address named below.	ice of
2	Received in their stead:at:	
3		
4	(X) CM/ECF	
5	Electronic filing with the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court,	
6		
7		
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	;
9		
10	Executed on July 21, 2008, at San Diego, California.	
11	/S/ MICHAEL J. MESSINA	
12		-
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		